Serial No.: Examiner: 10/654,364 Alissa L Hoey

Reply to Office Action of May 19, 2004

REMARKS

The applicant acknowledges and appreciates the interview dated September 8, 2004. A copy of the Interview Summary is attached to this paper.

Claims 1-8 remain in the application. Claim 1, the sole independent claim, has been amended above in the manner as discussed in the interview. As agreed to at the interview, claim 1 now distinguishes over the prior art of record. Accordingly, it is submitted that claim 1, and claims 2-8 dependent thereon, are all in condition for allowance. Applicant respectfully requests that a timely Notice of Allowance be issued in this case.

No additional costs are believed to be due in connection with the filing of this paper. However, the Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any additional fees, or credit any overpayment, to our Deposit Account No. 50-1133.

Respectfully submitted,

McDermott Will & Emery LLP

Date: 9/10/05

Mark G. Lappin, P.C., Reg. No. 26,618

Attorneys for Applicants

28 State Street

Boston, MA 02109-1775 Telephone: (617) 535-4043 Facsimile: (617)535-3800

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/654,364	SLEESEN, MICHAEL VAN DER
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Alissa L. Hoey	3765
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):		
(1) Alissa L. Hoey.	(3)	
(2) Mark Lappin.	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>08 September 2004</u> .		
Type: a)☐ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☒ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant	2)⊡ applicant's representative	11608
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.	CENTRAL FAX CENTER SEP 1 0 2004
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1-8</u> .		JE. 1 0 200.
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>DIPaola (US 3,086,215)</u> .		
Agreement with respect to the claims f)⊠ was reached. g)☐ was not reached. h)☐ N/A.		
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>To clarify invention defined in claim 1, Applicant proposes to define the panels of paragraphs A, B and C to be "outer" panels and define the panel of paragraph D to be adapted to be adjacent to the body of a wearer of said garment. It was agreed that those amendemnts the claim distinguishes it over the prior art record. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims of the prior by the claims.</u>		
allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)		
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.		
	•	·
	•	•
		•
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	 Examiner's sign	hature, if required